

Life Event Ontology Based E-Government Service Integration with Privacy Awareness

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

By

Abdullah Alqahtani



University of Technology, Sydney

August, 2014

Copyright © 2014 by Abdullah Alqahtani. All Rights Reserved

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

A handwritten signature in red ink, appearing to be 'A. E. Welch', is written over a horizontal dashed line.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my great thanks to the following people who gave me tremendous support and help during my PhD study.

First and foremost, I would like to express my ultimate acknowledgment to God, who has given me the inspiration and strength to accomplish this thesis.

I would like to express the profound gratitude to my principal supervisor Dr. Hai Yan (Helen) Lu, and co-supervisor Prof. Jie Lu for their academic guidance, insight, and encouragement throughout every milestone in this study.

I would like to acknowledge my highest appreciation to my parents, my brothers, my sisters and all my family for their constant support, prayers, inspiration, and best wishes during this study and throughout my life.

I would like to express my deep appreciation to my wife, Zahra. I could never have accomplished this thesis without her love, encouragement, patience, understanding, and prayers. She shared all the good and difficult times during my study. I also would like to express my sincere thanks and love to my sons, Rayan and Ammar and to my daughter Wateen for doing their best to understand their father's situation during the time in which he studied and worked for such an extended period of time.

I would like to express my appreciation for the support and help that I received from the members of the Decision Systems & e-Service Intelligence (DeSI) Lab. The DeSI lab is one of five laboratories within the Centre for Quantum Computation and Intelligent Systems (QCIS), School of Software, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology, Sydney.

I would like to acknowledge my highest appreciation to the University of Dammam (UD). This study was fully supported by a University of Dammam (UD) grant.

Finally, I wish to thank all the teachers and lecturers who taught me over the course of my school years, Bachelor's degree, Master's degree, and PhD degree. The knowledge that I gained from all of them was essential to complete this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	2
LIST OF FIGURES	9
LIST OF TABLES	12
ABSTRACT.....	14
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	16
1.1 Background and Motivation.....	16
1.2 Research Questions	19
1.3 Research Objectives	20
1.4 Research Methodology and Process	21
1.4.1 Research Design.....	21
1.4.2 Research Process	22
1.5 Research Contributions	23
1.6 Thesis Structure.....	24
1.7 Publications Related to This Thesis	26
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	28
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 E-government Service	28
2.2.1 Defining E-government.....	29
2.2.2 E-government Service Categories	30
2.3 E-government Service Models.....	33
2.3.1 Gartner's Model.....	34
2.3.2 Layne and Lee's Model	34
2.3.3 Deloitte's Model.....	35

2.3.4 Guo and Lu's Model	36
2.3.5 European Commission's Model	37
2.3.6 Sanati and Lu's Model	37
2.4 E-government Service Integration.....	38
2.5 Ontology	40
2.5.1 Introduction	40
2.5.2 Ontology Definition	40
2.5.3 Ontology Important	41
2.5.4 Overview of Ontology Language	42
2.5.5 Variants of Ontologies	44
2.5.5.1 Varying Form of Appearance	44
2.5.5.2 Varying Scope.....	44
2.5.5.3 Varying Degree of Formality.....	44
2.5.5.4 Some Examples of Using Ontologies in Different Areas	45
2.5.6 Methodologies for Building Ontologies.....	45
2.5.7 Ontology Reasoning.....	49
2.5.8 Ontology Evaluation	49
2.6 Life Event.....	52
2.7 Privacy.....	54
2.7.1 Introduction	54
2.7.2 Privacy Definition	54
2.7.3 Privacy Policy	55
2.7.4 Privacy Preference Management.....	57
2.7.5 Overview of Privacy Solutions	58
2.8 Web Technologies in E-government.....	60
2.9 Summary.....	61
CHAPTER 3 A NOVEL FRAMEWORK FOR E-GOVERNMENT G2C	
SERVICE INTEGRATION.....	62
3.1 Introduction	62

3.2 Design Framework	63
3.2.1 User Interaction Layer.....	63
3.2.2 Middleware Layer	66
3.2.3 Service Layer.....	68
3.2.4 Database Layer	69
3.3 Feasibility Analysis.....	69
3.4 Case Study Description	75
3.5 Summary	76
CHAPTER 4 ONTOLOGY-BASED CITIZEN LIFE EVENT MODEL.....	78
4.1 Introduction	78
4.2 Development of Ontology-based Life Event Model for E-government Service Integration.....	79
4.3 Design and Implementation the Life Event Ontology.....	81
4.3.1 Design Phase 1: Specification	82
4.3.2 Design Phase 2: Conceptualisation	83
4.3.3 Design Phase 3: Formalisation and Implementation.....	85
4.4 Evaluation	97
4.4.1 Ontology Verification	97
4.4.2 Ontology Validation.....	99
4.5 Procedures for Life Event Management	102
4.5.1 Procedures for Life Event Information Manager	102
4.5.2 Procedures for Service Information Manager	105
4.6 Procedures for Service Management.....	107
4.6.1 Web Technologies Support	107
4.6.1.1 Web Service	107
4.6.1.2 Semantic Web	110
4.6.1.3 Semantic Web Service	110
4.6.1.4 Approach of Semantic Web Service	111
4.6.2 Procedures for Service Execution Manager	115

4.7 Summary	116
CHAPTER 5 CITIZEN PERSONAL INFORMATION SENSITIVITY MODEL	
.....	117
5.1 Introduction	117
5.2 Development of Citizen Personal Information Sensitivity Model.....	118
5.2.1 Citizen Personal Information Set	119
5.2.2 Privacy Policy	121
5.2.3 Sensitivity Level Set.....	124
5.3 Design and Implementation Citizen Personal Information Sensitivity Ontology	130
5.3.1 Design Phase 1: Specification	131
5.3.2 Design Phase 2: Conceptualisation	132
5.3.3 Design Phase 3: Formalisation and Implementation.....	134
5.4 Evaluation	140
5.4.1 Ontology Verification	141
5.4.2 Ontology Validation.....	143
5.5 Procedures for Privacy Management.....	146
5.5.1 Procedures for Privacy Preference Manager	147
5.5.2 Procedures for Authentication and Authorisation Manager.....	151
5.5.2.1 An Overview of Privacy Languages	152
5.5.2.2 Workflow for the Authentication and Authorization.....	154
5.5.2.3 Components in Workflow.....	156
5.5.2.4 Modelling Policy in XACML	161
5.6 Summary	176
CHAPTER 6 LIFE EVENT-BASED AND SENSITIVITY-BASED E-	
GOVERNMENT SERVICE INTEGRATION PROTOTYPE	178
6.1 Introduction	178
6.2 Overview of System Architecture	179
6.3 Analysis and Design of the System.....	180

6.4 Implementation of a Prototype	193
6.5 Validation of LE&CPISe-GSI Framework using Prototype and Scenarios	196
6.6 Summary	211
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	212
7.1 Conclusions	212
7.2 Future Study	216
APPENDICES	218
REFERENCES	303

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1-1 The General Methodology of Research Design (Kuechler & Vaishnavi 2011)</i>	21
<i>Figure 1-2 The research process of this project</i>	23
<i>Figure 1-3 Thesis structure</i>	26
<i>Figure 3-1 Diagram of LE&CPISe-GSI framework</i>	65
<i>Figure 3-2 Workflow of sign up</i>	70
<i>Figure 3-3 Workflow of sign in</i>	70
<i>Figure 3-4 Workflow of view life event information</i>	71
<i>Figure 3-5 Workflow of applying life event</i>	72
<i>Figure 3-6 Workflow of setting citizen privacy preference</i>	73
<i>Figure 4-1 Examples of the Life event approach</i>	80
<i>Figure 4-2 The relationship between the entities in the life event ontology</i>	85
<i>Figure 4-3 CLASSES</i>	88
<i>Figure 4-4 The relationships between classes</i>	88
<i>Figure 4-5 "consumes" as object property</i>	89
<i>Figure 4-6 "hasLifeEventdescription" as Datatype Property</i>	89
<i>Figure 4-7 Part of the OWL file for Saudi Arabia LEO</i>	91
<i>Figure 4-8 "Apply for SAKASP" (LE1) as an instance of life event class</i>	91
<i>Figure 4-9 Instances of rule class for 'APPLY SAKASP'</i>	93
<i>Figure 4-10 'APPLY HAFIZ' (LE2) as Instance of life event class</i>	94
<i>Figure 4-11 Instances for LE2 – Apply for HAFIZ program</i>	97
<i>Figure 4-12 The architecture of web service</i>	108
<i>Figure 4-13 Part of WSDL example about check Job status service</i>	109
<i>Figure 4-14 Top level of the OWL-S service ontology (Martin et al. 2007)</i>	111
<i>Figure 4-15 Meta-ontology for SWS: WSMO (Dumitru et al. 2005)</i>	112

<i>Figure 4-16 OWL-S example about check job status service</i>	<i>114</i>
<i>Figure 5-1 Ontology schema of CPISO</i>	<i>133</i>
<i>Figure 5-2 Hierarchical structure of classes in CPISO</i>	<i>135</i>
<i>Figure 5-3 The relationships between classes</i>	<i>136</i>
<i>Figure 5-4 Part of the CPISO owl file</i>	<i>138</i>
<i>Figure 5-5 Instances of Citizen Personal Information Type Class.....</i>	<i>140</i>
<i>Figure 5-6 Privacy preference manager procedure (adopted from Rissanen 2013)</i>	<i>155</i>
<i>Figure 5-7 A high-level architecture of an authentication and authorisation manager</i>	<i>156</i>
<i>Figure 5-8 Privacy policy result code</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Figure 5-9 Obligation code</i>	<i>160</i>
<i>Figure 5-10 Notification code.....</i>	<i>161</i>
<i>Figure 5-11 Policy and policy set.....</i>	<i>162</i>
<i>Figure 5-12 High-level policy design framework.....</i>	<i>163</i>
<i>Figure 5-13 Template for purpose rule creation</i>	<i>165</i>
<i>Figure 5-14 Example for creating the retention and obligation rule</i>	<i>167</i>
<i>Figure 5-15 Template for creating the recipient rule.....</i>	<i>169</i>
<i>Figure 5-16 Example template for creating normal policy for SAKASP.....</i>	<i>170</i>
<i>Figure 5-17 Part of policy for sakasp sensitivity level 4</i>	<i>172</i>
<i>Figure 5-18 Example of creating consent policy for a particular SAKASP</i>	<i>173</i>
<i>Figure 5-19 Part of policy for consent SAKASP sensitivity level 1</i>	<i>174</i>
<i>Figure 5-20 Sample template for creating the policyset.....</i>	<i>175</i>
<i>Figure 6-1 Applicant use case diagram.....</i>	<i>181</i>
<i>Figure 6-2 Employee use case diagram.....</i>	<i>187</i>
<i>Figure 6-3 External employee use case diagram</i>	<i>189</i>
<i>Figure 6-4 Parental use case diagram</i>	<i>190</i>
<i>Figure 6-5 System use case diagram</i>	<i>191</i>
<i>Figure 6-6 System Home Page</i>	<i>198</i>
<i>Figure 6-7 Snapshot of citizen screen.....</i>	<i>199</i>

<i>Figure 6-8 SAKASP information interface</i>	<i>199</i>
<i>Figure 6-9 The first form to collect personal information for the SAKASP Life Event Application (Process 1).....</i>	<i>201</i>
<i>Figure 6-10The second form to collect personal information for the SAKASP life event application (Process 2).....</i>	<i>201</i>
<i>Figure 6-11 Inputs of the check mark service (Process 2)</i>	<i>202</i>
<i>Figure 6-12 Outputs of the check mark service (Process 3).....</i>	<i>202</i>
<i>Figure 6-13 Information about the Ministry (Process 3)</i>	<i>203</i>
<i>Figure 6-14 Submit SAKASP application form (Process 4)</i>	<i>203</i>
<i>Figure 6-15 Citizen screen interface after application is submitted</i>	<i>204</i>
<i>Figure 6-16 Privacy Preference Setting (2).....</i>	<i>204</i>
<i>Figure 6-17 Privacy Preference Setting (3).....</i>	<i>205</i>
<i>Figure 6-18 Privacy Preference Setting (4).....</i>	<i>205</i>
<i>Figure 6-19 Privacy preference setting (5)</i>	<i>206</i>
<i>Figure 6-20 Privacy preference setting (6)</i>	<i>206</i>
<i>Figure 6-21 Privacy preference setting (7)</i>	<i>207</i>
<i>Figure 6-22 Snapshot of employee screen for research purposes</i>	<i>208</i>
<i>Figure 6-23 View SAKASP application for research purposes</i>	<i>209</i>
<i>Figure 6-24 View the Hafiz application for research purposes</i>	<i>210</i>

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4-1 Life Event Examples Table	80
Table 4-2 Concepts and properties	86
Table 4-3 Evaluation of the LEO based on metrics (a) schema, (b) instance and (c) class.....	98
Table 4-4 The SPARQL queries for competency questions.....	100
Table 4-5 Comparison between WSMO and OWL-S (Fayçal & Mohamed 2011)..	113
Table 5-1 Citizen personal information data items (CPIDI) Table.....	120
Table 5-2 Types of citizen personal information Data Item Table	121
Table 5-3 Privacy policy aspects Table	121
Table 5-4 Privacy policy aspects with options Table	122
Table 5-5 Sensitivity level set for identity info	125
Table 5-6 Sensitivity level set for birth info	126
Table 5-7 Sensitivity level set for material status info	126
Table 5-8 Sensitivity level set for job info.....	127
Table 5-9 Sensitivity level set for contact info	128
Table 5-10 Sensitivity level set for financial info.....	128
Table 5-11 Sensitivity level set for education info	129
Table 5-12 Concepts in CPISO with related competence question IDs	132
Table 5-13 Datatype and object properties of each class Table.....	136
Table 5-14CPIDIs for each Life event case	139
Table 5-15 Data items with data type and sensitivity levels.....	139
Table 5-16 Evaluation results based on schema matrix	141
Table 5-17 Evaluation results based on instance matrix	142
Table 5-18 Evaluation results based on class matrix	142
Table 5-19 SPARQL queries for answering the competency questions Table.....	144

Table 5-20 Examples of the relationships throughout the role–action–life event application (resource).....	158
Table 5-21 Examples of purposes.....	166
Table 5-22 Examples of recipient values.....	170
Table 6-1 Sign up use case.....	181
Table 6-2 Sign in use case	182
Table 6-3 View life event information.....	182
Table 6-4 Apply for life event	183
Table 6-5 Complete a life event application	184
Table 6-6 Edit life event application.....	184
Table 6-7 Cancel life event application	184
Table 6-8 View life event application.....	185
Table 6-9 Set privacy policy preference	185
Table 6-10 View assessment result.....	186
Table 6-11 Sign out.....	186
Table 6-12 View life event	187
Table 6-13 Assess application	188
Table 6-14 Submit assessment result.....	188
Table 6-15 View life event application.....	189
Table 6-16 View child life event application.....	190
Table 6-17 View assessment result.....	190
Table 6-18 Apply default privacy policy settings.....	191
Table 6-19 User authentication.....	192
Table 6-20 Verify user authorization.....	192
Table 6-21 Perform logging.....	193
Table 7-1 Comparison between e-government service integration solutions.....	215
Table 7-2 Comparison between privacy solutions.....	216

ABSTRACT

The advancement of information and communications technology (ICT) and web services offers a promising opportunity for e-government service integration, which can improve the availability and quality of e-government services. One of the key challenges in electronic government (e-government) is the composition of standalone e-government services to achieve complex services. In recent years, the life event model as ontology has been introduced as the core element of integrating complexity of service delivery to improve the efficiency and reusability of e-government services, and web-based information management systems. Despite the conceptual emergence of life event ontology, the question as to how ontology can be used to effectively model life events to support e-government government to citizen service integration remains. Furthermore, privacy concerns represents a major barrier for citizens to accept e-government services, and the question of how to address these privacy issues is becoming increasingly important as the government moves to push service delivery online. Although solutions have been recently suggested in the literature to deal with privacy concerns, there are few practical approaches for helping citizens to create their preferences for privacy protection based on various aspects of privacy policy. These preferences include purpose, retention, consent and the protection of personal information in the context of using e-government services.

As a step forward to satisfy the above requirements and to address some of the current challenges, this thesis presents a new framework for supporting e-government service integration based on a life event model. More specifically, the framework enables the system to automatically discover, select, compose and execute correct service across multiple web applications for appropriate life events and to allow a citizen to set up their privacy preferences and to support computerisation of these preferences so that these preferences can be guaranteed.

The main contributions are fivefold: (i) the proposal of a conceptual framework of e-government service integration based on life events, (ii) the development of a new life-event model using ontology technique and the methodology to model life events as an ontology model for e-government service integration, (iii) the development of a novel citizen personal information sensitivity model with privacy awareness for supporting citizens in expressing their privacy preferences and for granting the protection of citizens' personal information, (iv) the development of a suite of techniques to implement the framework including a practical solution to enforce the privacy policies in relation to citizens' personal information during e-government service integration, and (v) the manifestation of the validity of the proposed framework, models and techniques through the creation of a working prototype of an integrated e-government service system in a specific e-government domain in Saudi Arabia.

The significance of this study can be seen from the fact that it: (i) enables the domain expert to model effective life-events based on the use of ontology building methodology, (ii) enables effective modeling of citizens' personal information, (iii) enables citizens to specify their privacy preferences, (iv) ensures that citizens can be well informed in terms of what information is used, what purpose is it used for, where and how it is stored and who will handle the information, and (v) enforces privacy policies which correspond to the citizens' privacy preferences so that their privacy concerns can be properly addressed and that citizens' personal information will be protected and guaranteed against unauthorized access, loss, misuse or alterations based on their privacy preferences. Based on the outcomes of this study, the integrated e-government systems can significantly improve the accessibility of e-government services and enhance the citizens' trust toward the integrated government e-service systems.

Keywords:

e-government service, service integration, ontology, life event, privacy